

The BOOKER T. WASHINGTON Papers

man. Indeed, as I have written in another place, if one is to put aside questions of skin-colour (which are matters of local taste) the negroes as a whole may be regarded as being quite as comely as the entire mass of the White peoples; at least a sculptor would often think so." (Johnston to BTW, Dec. 18, 1910, Con. 426, BTW Papers, DLC.)

² See Johnston's favorable account of Tuskegee and BTW after a visit to the school in 1908. (*London Times*, Jan. 15, 1909, 6.)

To Kelly Miller

New York City. February 1st, 1911

My dear Professor Miller: I have just had a conference with the Outlook staff. They have received protests from a Russian, a Japanese, a Chinaman and from yourself, and in an early issue of the Outlook they are going to feature those protests and give them to the public.¹

One other thing that I accomplished during this interview will interest you. I have gotten the Outlook thoroughly committed to the use of the capital "N" for Negro in the future. Yours very truly,

[Booker T. Washington]

TLC Con. 430 BTW Papers DLC.

¹ *Outlook* had favored the policy of restrictive immigration in its editorial pages. Four dissenting views, including one by Kelly Miller, were published in *Outlook*, 97 (Feb. 18, 1911), 357-60. Miller attacked *Outlook* for being dogmatic when its editorial declared that "no nation is large enough for two races." Miller wrote: "I am sure it will not be considered unmannerly to call attention to the inconsistency of your position. It is universally understood that The Outlook is a firm and enthusiastic supporter of Dr. Booker T. Washington, whose policy is based upon the harmonious adjustment of the races in the United States, each maintaining its separate and distinct racial identity. How can this be hoped for if 'no nation is large enough for two races?'"

From Theodore Roosevelt

New York February 7th, 1911

Dear Dr. Washington: When I see you¹ I always have so many things to say that some of them are sure to remain unsaid. But I